



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,063	04/16/2004	Yen-Chen Chen	LELI 3516	9976

321 7590 06/05/2007  
SENNIGER POWERS  
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE  
16TH FLOOR  
ST LOUIS, MO 63102

EXAMINER
----------

HOLTON, STEVEN E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2629

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

06/05/2007

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/826,063	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Steven E. Holton	<b>Art Unit</b> 2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 March 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is made in response to applicant's response to election/restriction filed on 3/19/2007. Claims 1-18 are currently pending in the application. An action follows below:

#### ***Election/Restrictions***

2. Applicant's election with traverse of species 1 and 2 in the reply filed on 3/19/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the relationship of the two species being such that no additional burden is placed on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because although the different species do include drivers and power supplies, species 1 distinctly operates using single polarity signals on row and column electrodes, whereas, species 2 uses signals with two polarities on both the row and column electrodes. The unipolar driving scheme is distinctly different than a bipolar driving scheme based on the different signal voltages used on the different electrodes.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

#### ***Drawings***

3. Figures 1-3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct

Art Unit: 2629

any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al. (USPN: 4776676), hereinafter Inoue.

Regarding claim 1, Inoue discloses a method of driving a liquid crystal display device, the method of operation of the display device includes, "outputting an initial column signal to the corresponding column electrodes from the column driver, and outputting an initial row signal to the corresponding row electrodes from the row driver to initiate the corresponding pixel (Figs. 1b and 1c, 4-10; col. 3, line 61 – col. 4, line 9), wherein the initial column signal and the initial row signal are single polarity signals (Fig. 1b, the signals on elements V11 and V12), and the polarity of the initial column signal is in reverse to that of the initial row signal (Fig. 1b, the signal to V11 is opposite polarity of the signal to V12) so that an amplitude of an applied initial signal of the corresponding pixel is larger than the withstand voltage of the drivers, the applied initial signal of the corresponding pixel being single polarity (Fig. 1b, the signal of V11-V12); and outputting

Art Unit: 2629

a column address signal to the corresponding column electrodes from the column driver, and outputting a row address signal to the corresponding row electrodes from the row driver, wherein the column address signal and the row address signal are single polarity signals to control the corresponding pixel (Fig. 6, the signals on the column electrodes for the duration of a single frame are all one polarity opposite the polarity of the row electrodes).” The Examiner notes that the addition of the row and column electrode voltages as shown by Inoue could provide any voltage desired by the designers of a system. Thus, the sum of the two voltages could be greater or less than the withstand voltage of the driver circuitry as a matter of design choice for one skilled in the art. The selected row and column voltages would depend on the driving characteristics of the liquid crystal display being used and the power supply available to power the display device.

Regarding claims 2 and 4, Inoue discloses row signals that are both positive and negative square wave signals and similarly column signals that are negative and positive square wave signals (Figs. 1B and 1C). In the shown cases, Inoue uses a row signal that is opposite polarity of the column signal.

Regarding claims 3, 5, and 6, Inoue discloses the applied pixel voltage being the row signal minus the column signal (Fig. 1b and 1c, the signals V11-V12 and V21-V23). Inoue does not expressly disclose the applied initial signal having twice positive or twice negative amplitudes, but at the time of invention it would have been a matter of design choice for one skilled in the art to select different voltages to be applied to the row and column electrodes based on the characteristics of the liquid crystal display. Inoue has

Art Unit: 2629

the ability to select different voltages for the signal electrodes and it would have been a matter of design choice to choose row and column voltages equal to each other or not equal to each other depending on the characteristics of the liquid crystal display used.

Regarding claim 7, Inoue shows that the polarities of the row and column signals are known when driving the display device. Therefore, there must be a setting step before driving the pixels of the display that selects the polarities to be transmitted to the pixels. If there was no setting step between frame 1 and frame 2 of Fig. 6, Inoue would be unable to transmit different polarity signals to the different pixels based on the different frames.

Regarding claim 8, Inoue discloses switching the polarity of the column signals and the row signals (Fig. 6; the first frame uses set of polarities for row and column signals, the second frame uses the opposite polarities for row and column signals).

Regarding claim 9, Inoue discloses connecting the electrodes to a ground terminal (Fig. 6, time frames when an electrode is not being driven and is set to 0 volts). The setting of the electrodes to zero volts would discharge the voltages previously applied to the electrode.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven E. Holton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7903. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

Art Unit: 2629

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven Holton  
Division 2629  
May 28, 2007

AMR A. AWAD  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Amr A. Awad", written in a cursive style.